

Coordination of Data Acquisition, Discovery, Development & Distribution

- A. Coordination of Acquisition, Discovery & Development (OKC)
 - 1. Coordinate Data, Acquisition & Quality
 - 2. Coordinated All Data Layers between All Groups
 - 3. Coordinate Development & Maintenance of Statewide GIS Data Layers

- B. Data Coordination & Distribution (TUL)
 - 1. Data Distribution
 - 2. 1 repository for data sharing / exchanging
 - 3. Data Acquisition Coordination
 - 4. Official Data Layers
 - 5. Supporting State Level Programs with Spatial Components
 - 6. Automated Notification of Data Changes / Additions

- C. Data Development (TUL)
 - 1. Provide Street Centerline Data for Geocoding
 - 2. Georeference Data
 - 3. Provide Utility Data
 - 4. Increasing # of State Benchmarks
 - 5. High Resolution Aerials
 - 6. Aerial High Resolution
 - 7. Regularly Scheduled Ortho Flights

- D. Coordinate State GIS Standards (OKC)
 - 1. Ensured Compatibility for All Data
 - 2. Standardization of Data
 - 3. Standards
 - 4. Statewide GIS Layer Standards
 - 5. Provided Standards for Metadata

- E. Standards & Guidelines (TUL)
 - 1. Data & Metadata Standards
 - 2. Standards for Data & Personnel
 - 3. GIS Specific IT Guidelines
 - 4. Resolution Guidelines 1:24K

- F. Statewide Data Clearinghouse (OKC)
 - 1. Library of Resources & Services
 - 2. Clearinghouse for GIS Data
 - 3. Consolidated Metadata / Data Clearinghouse
 - 4. Data Clearinghouse
 - 5. Provided Easy Access to All Data

- G. Coordinate Provisions of Web Services (OKC)
 - 1. Promote Web Services
 - 2. Hosting (No Cost) of GIS Web Applications
 - 3. Statewide Streaming Feature / Data Server
 - 4. Non GIS Public Access to Data

Education, Training & User Support

- A. Professional Development & Outreach (TUL)
 - 1. Contacts / Network for all Types of OK GIS website
 - 2. Postings for Jobs / Who's Who/ Training Opportunities / Certification
 - 3. Statewide Conference
 - 4. Training / Education
 - 5. Conference for Networking - Not Software Specific
 - 6. Training Programs
 - 7. Training & Seminars
 - 8. Support of Workshops for Local GIS Training Hosted by OGI

- B. Outreach & Education (OKC)
 - 1. Public Awareness / Education
 - 2. Promotes Benefits of GIS Statewide
 - 3. Provided Outreach & Education for all Groups & Students
 - 4. Established State GIS Conferences

- C. Training (OKC)
 - 1. Provide Subsidized GIS Education / Training
 - 2. Training (Local Affordable)
 - 3. Coordinates Statewide GIS Training (Internships)

- D. Technical Facilitation (OKC)
 - 1. Be Recognized as a Center of GIS Expertise
 - 2. Technical Assistance & Information Broker
 - 3. Provided all Groups Technical Assistance to Integrate All Information

- E. User Support (TUL)
 - 1. Collective Purchasing for Software (Statewide Contracts)
 - 2. Grant Funding & Writing
 - 3. Facilitate Support Services for Projects
 - 4. Provide Vital Support Services - Data Housing, Web Services Storage, Newsletters, Contracts
 - 5. Interactive GIS Needs Assessment for Public Use

- F. Newsletters, Contracts (TUL)
 - 1. Interactive GIS Needs Assessment for Public Use

- G. Coordinate Funding Opportunities (OKC)
 - 1. Work with Legislature to Maintain Funding
 - 2. Obtain Grants
 - 3. Coordinate Grant Opportunities & Applications
 - 4. Grant Writing Assistance / Info
 - 5. High Percentage of Grants Funded
 - 6. Secure Funding for GIS Activities

- H. Make Certain GIS Software / Hardware on State Contract (OKC)

- I. Revenue Generation (Grants, Conferences, Subscriptions) (TUL)

- J. Public Relations (TUL)
 - 1. Legislative Support
 - 2. Information Dissemination through State / Local Professional Groups
 - 3. Known as a Recognized Leader in OK GIS Community
 - 4. National Recognition as GIS Partner

- K. Fostering Partnerships (OKC)
 - 1. Championed Cooperation & Established Relationships between all Groups
 - 2. Removes Duplication of Services
 - 3. Project Coordination between Agencies

- L. Represented Oklahoma at National Level (OKC)

- M. Achieving Goals of Strategic Plan (TUL)

Topic	Votes		Total
	Tulsa	OKC	
A. SCAUG	10		
Existing Partnership & Networks.....	9		
OK GIS List Server	6		
Motivated GIS User Group		18	
Current Data Sharing Coordination		12	
Total			55
B. Existing Data (1)	9		
Existing GIS Clearinghouse		16	
Lots of Data.....		13	
Total			38
C. Existing GIS Expertise (2)	14		
Expertise in GIS Community.....		19	
Total			33
D. Legislation in Place (2)	10		
Existing GIS Legislation		13	
Total			23
E. Increased Awareness of GIS Value		22	22
F. Adequate Training Facilities Available	5		
Good GIS Education at Collegiate Level (2)	10		
Training Opportunities in State.....		2	
Total			17

Topic	Votes		Total
	Tulsa	OKC	
A. GIS Popularity Exploding	11		
Active GIS Community in OK.....	4		
Public Interest is High		13	
Increased GIS Awareness & Use (1).....		9	
Increased GIS Awareness		5	
GIS is Fast Growing Technology.....		9	
Total			51
B. Leverage Data Needs	11		
Initiatives (Federal & State) Providing Funding & Mandates	21		
Economy of Scale (data & software acquisition)		17	
Total			49
C. Existing Mentors (Don't reinvent the wheel).....	5		
Good Example for Us to Look At (States, Nations).....		15	
Existence of Other State Models.....		14	
Total			34
D. Coordination of GIS Groups	9		
Leverage Regional & National Resources (NSGIC)	5		
Participation in National Organizations.....	5		
Making GIS Connections with Existing Sites	4		
Coordination between Groups to Maximize Efforts.....	2		
Cooperation with Neighboring States.....		3	
Cooperation with National Organizations.....		2	
Total			30
E. More GIS Data Available	1		
Accessibility to Data		26	
Total			27
F. Secondary & Elementary Education	11		11

Topic	Votes		Total
	Tulsa	OKC	
A. No State Coordinator	17		
Lack of Legislative Support	11		
Lack of Statewide Coordination	4		
Lack of Champions / Leadership at All Levels (2).....		25	
Lack of Support.....		2	
Lack of Coordination.....		1	
Total			60
B. Lack of Funding (1)	14		
Lack of Funding (3).....		26	
Total			40
C. Territorialism between Agencies.....	11		
Professional Bickering.....	7		
Turf Wars		12	
Funding Competitions between Agencies		4	
Varying Agendas		3	
Total			37
D. Not Willing to Share Data	6		
Lack of Willingness to Share Data		18	
Inability / Refusal to Cooperate.....		7	
Total			31
E. Lack of Participation (Rural/ County/ City)	6		
Lack of Infrastructure Education	6		
Lack of Communication		6	
Overall Agency Buy-In to GIS.....		5	
Total			23
F. No Mission Statement or Business Case (ROI).....	5		
Lack of Marketing & Promotion		9	
Total			14

Topic	Votes		Total
	Tulsa	OKC	
A. Lack of Political Champion	21		
Not a Legislative Priority		27	
Total			48
B. Data Access vs Data Security	11		
Lack of Maintaining Data (1)	11		
Data Ownership & Control Issues		12	
Data Security		5	
Data Confidentiality		2	
Total			41
C. Territorialism	4		
Public vs Private Missions.....	9		
Surveyors	5		
Coordinating Many & Various Individual Efforts (Turf Issues) (1).....		18	
Threats to Private Industry		2	
Total			38
D. Funding (1).....	15		
Redirection of Funds to Support OGI (Angers Other Organizations)	1		
Competition for Funding (1)		21	
Total			37
E. Lack of Understanding of Benefits		21	21
F. Rapid Technical Changes	5		
Resistance to Change	6		
Rapid Technology Advancement.....		6	
Total			17
G. Lack of Resources for Small Communities		17	17
H. Unreasonable Standards & Other Restrictions (1)	4		
Potential for Dictating GIS Policy, Standards, Etc.....		10	
Total			14